<i>d</i> .	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/712,660	WEINRAUB, CHANANIEL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dipakkumar Gandhi	2117
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Dipakkumar Gandhi</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Johnathan Scott</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 January 2008	Time: 3:45 PM Eastern Tin	<u>ne</u>
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.		
Claims discussed: None.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: During the interview with the examiner, the applicant's representative Johnathan Scott confirmed that the application no. 10/712,660 is abandoned.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the i	n summary of the substance interview, since the interview.
De Vande:		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)